

14.3 2019/NOM46 - Seaford Foreshore Activation

On 10 September 2019 Councillor Bolam gave notice of his intention to move the following motion:

1. Council officer's advocate and negotiate with DELWP for expanded use of the Seaford Foreshore.
2. Pending DELWP support in principle Council refers \$40K to the 2020/21 capital works budget for planning and design, which is to be sympathetic of the coastal location and colours.
3. Notes a report outlining the detailed budget will be presented to Council for inclusion in the draft Capital works program once planning and design has been completed

RATIONALE

Seating, tables, sand friendly tiles/ paving or platform, lighting (including LED), a grand rotunda, public art piece, BBQ and drinking fountain, large sun dial, bike racks, connective pathways, view of the beach, outdoor shower and feet washing.

COMMENTS BY DIRECTOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Question for Consideration	
1. Has the NoM been discussed with the CEO and/or the relevant Director or Manager?	YES
2. Is the NoM substantially different from any notice of motion or rescission motion that has been considered by Council and lost in the preceding six months?	YES
3. Is the NoM clear and well worded?	YES
4. Is the NoM capable of being implemented?	YES
5. If the NoM is adopted, will a meeting be required with the relevant Director and Manager and Council officers in order to progress its implementation?	YES
6. Is the NoM within the powers of a municipal Council?	NO Agreement by DWELP is required as this is Coastal Reserve land
7. Is the NoM free from overlap with matters for which the State and/or Federal Government are responsible?	NO See above comment
8. Is the NoM consistent with all relevant legislation?	See above comment

14.3 2019/NOM46 - Seaford Foreshore Activation

Question for Consideration	
9. Is the NoM consistent with existing Council or State policy or position?	See above comment
10. Is the NoM consistent with Council's adopted strategic plan?	YES
11. Can the NoM be implemented without diversion of existing resources?	YES
12. Can the NoM be implemented without diversion of allocated Council funds?	NO \$40K is to be referred for consideration, should DWELP agree, to the 2020/21 annual budget considerations for planning and design Comments: if applicable
13. Are funds available in the adopted budget to implement the NoM?	NO
14. What is the estimated cost of implementing the NoM?	YES / NO Year 1: \$40K for planning and design Recurring: \$ Comments: if applicable

ATTACHMENTS

Nil